OPTIMAL ONE-SHOT PHASE AND FREQUENCY ESTIMATION FOR TIMING ACQUISITION

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[01]   This application claims the benefit of Provisional Application No. 60/428,507, filed November 22, 2002, and Provisional Application No. 60/434,584, filed December 17, 2002. This application incorporates these provisional applications by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1.   Field of the Invention

[02]   The present invention relates generally to timing acquisition in a data stream. More particularly, the invention is directed to a method and apparatus for estimating the phase and the frequency for timing acquisition of a data stream.

2.   Description of the Related Art

[03]   In electronic communications systems, during signal transmission, transmitted signals may be subject to noise. The received signal will be a combination of the original signal and the noise. The received signal data may be represented as the sum of the original signal and a noise component as follows:

$$x = f(t) + n(t) \qquad \text{EQ 1}$$

where: $f(t)$ is the original signal, and $n(t)$ is noise.

[04]   The electronic communications system must be able to extract the information contained in the transmitted signal, even in the presence of noise.

[05]   In communications channels, data often is preceded by a preamble which has a fixed length of a known bit sequence. Sampling the preamble provides timing characteristics of the communications channel to enable receipt of the digital data.

[06]   The sampled preamble, $\bar{X}$, may be represented by the following:

$$\bar{X} = [x_0 \cdots x_N] \qquad \text{EQ 2}$$

where

$$x_k = A\sin\left(\Phi + k \cdot f \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + n_k \qquad\qquad \text{EQ 3}$$

A is the signal amplitude,

k is a sample point,

Φ is the phase of the data signal,

f is the frequency, and

n_k is channel noise.

[07]   The sampled preamble is then estimated, resulting in the following approximation, $\vec{Y}$ being the estimated preamble:

$$\vec{Y} = [y_0 \cdots y_N] \qquad\qquad \text{EQ 4}$$

where

$$y_k = \hat{A}\sin\left(\hat{\Phi} + k \cdot \hat{f} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \qquad\qquad \text{EQ 5}$$

$\hat{A}$ is an estimated signal amplitude,

$\hat{\Phi}$ is an estimated phase, and

$\hat{f}$ is an estimated frequency.

[08]   Data may be transmitted reliably over the data channel when, after processing the preamble samples, the phase estimate is within 1% of the actual phase, and the frequency estimate is within 0.1%, preferably within 0.05% of the actual frequency. Traditionally, in the presence of a large frequency offset (a difference between the frequency estimate and the actual frequency), a preamble of sufficient length is required in order to have good frequency acquisition before the channel switches into the data mode. Often it is necessary to engage in a trade-off between timing loop bandwidth and the preamble length regarding the frequency acquisition. However there is a limit to such a compromise. For example, stability is a problem when the bandwidth is too large, especially when the timing loop delay cannot be ignored. Also, large bandwidth admits more noise, causing large jitters in the timing loop.

[09]   To improve the format efficiency of the digital data, it is desirable to have the preamble be as short as possible.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[10]   A Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) technique may determine the components of the estimated preamble. Assuming the communication channel noise is white and has a normal distribution, then the MLE of the amplitude, phase and frequency involves finding $\hat{A}$, $\hat{\Phi}$, and $\hat{f}$ so as to minimize the squared difference between the sampled preamble and the estimated preamble.

$$\left\| \hat{X} - \hat{Y} \right\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k - y_k)^2 \qquad\qquad \text{EQ 6}$$

[11]   The accuracy of the MLE of the frequency is dependent upon the number of samples and the communication channel signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). However, the MLE does not utilize any pre-knowledge regarding the distribution of the random frequency. As a result, a longer preamble is necessary. That is, the MLE assumes that all frequencies are equally probable, which is not true in practical cases. As a result, a long preamble may be necessary.

[12]   It is also possible to address the problem of efficient frequency estimation with a maximum a posteriori (MAP) formulation where the frequency has a normal distribution and a mean of zero. Mathematically, the MAP estimation can be expressed as follows: a symbol a, belonging to the set A, is transmitted according to a probability of $P_A(a)$, and an output, y, is observed. Then the MAP estimation involves finding a possible transmitted symbol, $\hat{a}$, to maximize the following probability.

$$P_{A|Y}(\hat{a}|y) = \frac{P_{Y|A}(y|\hat{a}) P_A(\hat{a})}{P_Y(y)} \qquad\qquad \text{EQ 7}$$

Since $P_Y(y)$ is not a function of $\hat{a}$, it only is necessary to maximize $P_{Y|A}(y|\hat{a}) P_A(\hat{a})$ as a function of $\hat{a}$.

[13]   EQ 1 expresses the received samples of the preamble waveform, and EQ 2 expresses the estimated samples of the preamble waveform. The MAP estimation of the frequency involves choosing $\hat{f}$ to maximize the following:

$$P_{F|X}(\hat{f}|\bar{X}) = \frac{P_{X|F}(\bar{X}|\hat{f}) P_F(\hat{f})}{P_X(\bar{X})} \qquad\qquad \text{EQ 8}$$

[14]   By assuming the channel noise has a normal distribution with a mean of zero, the frequency also has a normal distribution. Each of $P_{X|F}(\bar{X})$ and $P_F(\hat{f})$ are represented as follows:

$$P_{X|F} = \frac{1}{\sigma^N \sqrt{(2\pi)^N}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\left\|\bar{X} - \bar{Y}(\hat{f})\right\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \qquad \text{EQ 9}$$

and

$$P_F(\hat{f}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_f \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(\hat{f} - \bar{f})^2}{2\sigma_f^2}\right), \qquad \text{EQ 10}$$

where $\bar{f}$ is the nominal frequency. The term, $\hat{f} - \bar{f}$, is the frequency offset.

[15]   The cost function, $C(\hat{f})$, is found by taking the logarithm of both sides of EQ 10 and discarding any terms that are independent of $\hat{f}$, as follows:

$$C(\hat{f}) = \ln\left(P_{F|X}(\hat{f}|\bar{X})\right) = \ln\left(P_{X|F}(\bar{X}|\hat{f})\right) + \ln\left(P_F(\hat{f})\right) \qquad \text{EQ 11}$$

and

$$C(\hat{f}) = \frac{\left\|\bar{X} - \bar{Y}(\hat{f})\right\|^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\hat{f} - \bar{f})^2}{\sigma_f^2}. \qquad \text{EQ 12}$$

Therefore the MAP estimation of the frequency is transformed into choosing $\hat{f}$ to maximize the cost function, $C(\hat{f})$.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[16]   Figure 1 shows a block diagram of one embodiment of parallel optimization of the frequency and the phase values.

[17]   Figure 2 shows a more detailed embodiment of the processing units shown in Fig. 1.

[18]   Figure 3 shows a block diagram of a second embodiment of parallel optimization of the frequency and the phase values.

[19]   Figure 4 contains a flow chart showing the steps of one embodiment.

[20]   Figure 5 shows a schematic representation of a disk drive system having a communications channel for estimating the phase and frequency of a preamble.

[21]   Figure 6 shows a block diagram of a communications channel for estimating the phase and frequency of a preamble.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

[22]   As shown on Fig. 5, an exemplary hard disk drive system comprises a disk 506. A motor 507 spins the disk 506 at a substantially constant speed and under control of a motor controller 508. An actuator 504 positions a recording head 505 over the proper data track on the disk 506. Data is transmitted through the recording head 505 via a communications channel 503. A clock 501 responsive to a reference frequency 509 provides timing signals to the communications channel 503. The sector timing controller 502 synchronizes the clock 501 with the disk rotation. Skilled practitioners in the art will recognize that other disk drive configurations are possible.

[23]   When a digital communication channel is a read channel for a hard disk drive, a signal preamble may comprise a known series of bits written at the beginning of each data sector. The series of bits, comprising one or more preamble words, enables quick and accurate determination of frequency and phase information. For example, preamble word "0011" will provide a single cycle of a sinusoidal waveform. A number of preamble words, read as a data stream, will provide a preamble having a sinusoidal waveform. Because the preamble comprises a sinusoidal waveform derived from a known bit stream rather than random data bits, the frequency and phase values are more easily determined. The frequency and phase information are required to accurately read data from the disk.

[24]   Preambles take up space on a disk surface. As a result, the shorter the preamble, the more room there is for data storage. Therefore, it is important to determine the frequency and phase values to the required accuracy from a preamble having the shortest possible length.

[25]   The preamble is sampled at the communication channel clock rate, that is, a sample of the preamble is taken each time a bit is transmitted. Therefore, the preamble word "0011" will be sampled four times, and the total preamble sample size, N, will be four times the number of preamble words. The sampled preamble then is used to estimate the waveform, and the estimated waveform provides estimates for the phase and frequency.

[26]   The MAP estimation of the frequency cost function presented in EQ 12 may be rewritten and expanded to include the estimated variables for the amplitude and the phase as follows:

$$C\left(\hat{A}, \hat{\Phi}, \hat{f}\right) = \left\| \bar{X} - \bar{Y}(\hat{A}, \hat{\Phi}, \hat{f}) \right\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \left(\hat{f} - \bar{f}\right)^2}{\sigma_f^2} \qquad \text{EQ 13}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(x_k - \hat{A} \sin\left(\hat{\Phi} + k \cdot \hat{f} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \left(\hat{f} - \bar{f}\right)^2}{\sigma_f^2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_k^2 + \hat{A}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sin^2\left(\hat{\Phi} + k \cdot \hat{f} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - 2\hat{A} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_k \sin\left(\hat{\Phi} + k \cdot \hat{f} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \left(\hat{f} - \bar{f}\right)^2}{\sigma_f^2}$$

The first term is not a function of the parameters under consideration, and is omitted when calculating a value for the cost function.

[27]   The amplitude estimate, $\hat{A}$, may be determined by the square root of the sum of the squares of the average of the even samples and the average of the odd samples. The amplitude estimate, $\hat{A}$, may be represented as follows:

$$s = \frac{2}{N} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} (-1)^k \cdot x_{2k}, \qquad \text{(average of the even samples) EQ 14}$$

$$c = \frac{2}{N} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} (-1)^k \cdot x_{2k+1}, \qquad \text{(average of the odd samples) EQ 15}$$

and

$$\hat{A} = \sqrt{s^2 + c^2} \qquad \text{EQ 16}$$

[28]   where x is a preamble sample as shown in EQ 2.

[29]   The cost function of EQ 13 is evaluated over a range of possible phase values and a range of possible frequency, preferably substantially simultaneously, to determine the combination of phase and frequency that yield the minimum value of the cost function. Fig. 1 shows one embodiment.

[30]   Fig. 4 shows a flowchart containing the steps to implement one embodiment of the invention. As the N bits of the preamble are read from the communications channel, each bit is sampled and simultaneously processed by an array of L x M processing units. Each processing unit processes a respective sampled bit as it arrives, and evaluates the cost

function using a different frequency and phase value. After reading the sampled preamble, each processing unit has calculated the cost function for a particular combination of phase and frequency. Once the cost functions are calculated, a minimum cost function is selected for each phase value. The overall minimum cost function is selected from this subset of minimum value cost functions, thereby providing an optimum value for frequency and for phase.

[31]   Referring now to Fig. 1, N samples of the preamble, x_k 101, are received from the communication channel and are processed in parallel by processing units 105 to evaluate the cost function as described in EQ 13. The cost function is evaluated for each of L distinct and different frequency values and M distinct and different phase values. There are L x M processing units 105, each capable of evaluating the cost function for a distinct value for the phase and a distinct value for the frequency. The range of values for the frequency is

$-4\sigma_f$ to $+4\sigma_f$ with steps of $\dfrac{\sigma_f}{4}$. The phase value has a range of 0 to 2π with 5%

increments. Therefore, the embodiment of Fig. 1 has 33 different frequency values and 21 different phase values. Other values for L and M are possible. The chosen increments need not be equal.

[32]   When the N samples of the preamble are processed, each of the L phase comparison means 110 will examine the M processing units 105 having the same frequency value, and will select the processing unit having a first minimum cost function. Then, the frequency comparison means 115 will examine the plurality of L processing units having the first minimum cost functions, and will select a second minimum cost function. This second minimum cost function has the optimum estimated values 120 for both the frequency, $\hat{f}_{opt}$, and phase, $\hat{\Phi}_{opt}$. These optimum values then will be used to receive the remainder of the data stream.

[33]   Fig. 6 shows a block diagram of an embodiment for determining the optimum estimates for the phase and frequency of a preamble. A sampler 601 samples each bit of the preamble (the preamble being a string of predetermined bits resulting in a sinusoidal waveform.) A first calculator 602 reads the preamble samples and estimates the preamble amplitude, $\hat{A}$. A second calculator 603 then computes the value of the cost function,

$C\left(\hat{A},\hat{\Phi},\hat{f}\right)$, for each of L different frequency values and M different phase values.
Alternatively, the first calculator 602 and the second calculator 603 may operate substantially
in parallel. A selector 604 the selects the cost function having the minimum value, thereby
determining the optimum frequency and phase values.

[34]   Fig. 2 shows further detail for each processing unit P(i,j) 105 of Fig. 1 for determining
the cost function for each of the distinct frequency values f_i, and phase values Φ_j. The
frequency value f_i 204 is multiplied by the integer k 205 and the scalar $\dfrac{\pi}{2}$ at the multiplier
224. The result is summed with the phase value Φ_j 203 at the adder 226. The result of the
adder 226 is the input to the sine function 228, whose result is squared at the square function
230, and is multiplied with the kth sample of the preamble waveform, x_k 202, at multiplier
210. The results of the square function 230 are summed for all k values 205 at the summer
232 and summation loop 234. The scalar $\hat{A}$ 201 is squared at the square function 236 and is
multiplied with the result of the summer 232 and summation loop 234 at multiplier 238. The
result of multiplier 238 represents $\hat{A}^2\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sin^2\left(\hat{\Phi}+k\cdot\hat{f}\cdot\dfrac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

[35]   The result of the multiplier 210 is summed for all k values at summer 212 and
summation loop 214. The results of the summer 212 and summation loop 214 are multiplied
with the scalar $\hat{A}$ and the scalar 2 at multiplier 216. The result of the multiplier 216 is
changed in sign at the sign complement 218. The result of the sign complement 218
represents $-2\hat{A}\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}x_k\sin\left(\hat{\Phi}+k\cdot\hat{f}\cdot\dfrac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

[36]   The nominal frequency, $\bar{f}$, 239 is subtracted from the frequency value, f_i, 204 at
summer 240. The result of summer 240 is first squared at the square function 241, and then
the result is multiplied by the scalar $\dfrac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_f^2}$ at multiplier 222. The cost function, $C(f_i, \Phi_j)$, is the
result of summer 220, which sums the results of multiplier 222, the sign complement 218,
and the multiplier 238. The scalars σ and σ_f represent parameters of the communication
channel; σ is the standard deviation of the noise, and σ_f is the standard deviation of the
frequency. Both are assumed to have normal distributions with a mean of zero.

[37]   In another embodiment, shown in Fig. 3, when the N preamble samples 301 are processed, each of the L frequency comparison means 310 will examine the M processing units 305 having the same phase value, and will select the processing unit having the first minimum cost function. The phase comparison means 315 then will examine each of the M processing units 305 having a first minimum cost function, and will select the processing function having the second minimum cost function. The second minimum cost function has the optimum estimated values 320 for the frequency, $\hat{f}_{opt}$, and phase, $\hat{\Phi}_{opt}$. This embodiment differs from the previous embodiment in that for each frequency value, the minimum cost function is selected first as a function of phase, and then as a function of frequency. Those skilled in the art will recognize other possible means to select the processing unit having the minimum cost function representing the optimal estimates for the frequency, $\hat{f}_{opt}$, and phase, $\hat{\Phi}_{opt}$.

[38]   To demonstrate that minimizing the cost function as a function of frequency and phase will provide an improvement in the acquisition of an estimated frequency, a MATLAB simulation was performed, wherein the channel noise, length of the preamble sample, and the standard deviation of the frequency were varied. The following table presents the results of the simulation.

[39]   Table 1

Bit Error Rate (BER)	Number of Samples	Number of Trials	Initial Frequency Offset Standard Deviation (σ_f)	Residual Frequency Offset Standard Deviation ($\hat{\sigma}_f$)
1e-4	32	10,000	0.03%	0.0300%
1e-4	64	10,000	0.03%	0.0285%
1e-4	128	1,000	0.03%	0.0210%
1e-4	32	1,000	0.3%	0.2000%
1e-4	64	1,000	0.3%	0.0844%
1e-4	128	1,000	0.3%	0.0326%
1e-3	32	1,000	1.0%	0.28%
1e-3	64	1,000	1.0%	0.10%
1e-3	128	1,000	1.0%	0.0465%

[40]   The BER is related to the standard distribution of the communication channel noise. For example, if the binary bits are taken from the se {0,1}, and the communications channel

has a transfer function of $T(D) = 4 + 3D - 2D^2 - 3D^3 - 2D^4$, then a BER of 1e-4 corresponds to a σ of 0.7131, and a BER of 1e-3 corresponds to a σ of 0.8562. The residual frequency standard deviation is the standard deviation of the resultant frequency estimates, $\hat{f}$, for the number of simulation trials run at the various conditions. The simulation results indicate that MAP estimation provides substantial improvement in the presence of large frequency standard deviations.

[41]   While the foregoing describes embodiments of the invention in detail, various omissions, substitutions, and changes in the form and details of the invention are possible for those skilled in the art, without departing from the spirit of the invention. Skilled practitioners will recognize that the invention may be implemented using hardware, software, or a combination of both to achieve the results as described above.